

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/762,513	Applicant(s) BONSEL, HARALD	
	Examiner Robert Hodge	Art Unit 1746	

All Participants:

(1) Robert Hodge.

(2) Richard Beck.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 4 October 2004

Time: 11:50 AM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

Claim 1

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Robert Hodge
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner proposed that claim 1 be further limited by claiming a resevoir in the structural edge. The attorney counter proposed that the claim be amended by adding "and the product water is between the seal and the membrane" The attorney's proposal will be entered by examiner's ammendment.